



26

ER
16/3/10 u/dash
CCT-2
ADA (SS)
RK & KL

STATE CONSUMER DISPUTES REDRESSAL COMMISSION, HARYANA,
PANCHKULA

First Appeal No.342 of 2004
Date of Institution: 11.02.2004
Date of Decision: 23.02.2010

Urmila Devi w/o Sh. Vidya Rattan, Resident of H.No.183/31, Ashok Vihar, Sonapat
Appellant (Complainant)

Versus

1. The Estate Officer, HUDA, Sonapat.
2. The Chief Administrator, HUDA, Faridabad.
3. State of Haryana through Collector, Sonapat.

---Respondents (Opposite Parties)

BEFORE:

Hon'ble Mr. Justice R.S. Madan, President.
Dr. Rekha Sharma, Member.
Mr. Diwan Singh Chauhan, Member.

For the Parties: Mr. G.C. Babbar, Advocate for appellant.
Mr. B.S. Walia, Advocate for respondents.

ORDER

Justice R.S. Madan, President:

The facts of the present case in brief are as under:-

The complainant, who is appellant in this appeal, purchased plot No.292 from the previous allottee namely R.K. Thapalia. The possession letter was issued on 20.03.1995 and thereafter possession of the plot was offered on 13.9.2000 and actual physical possession was delivered on 4.10.2000.

The grievance of the complainant in her complaint before the District Forum was that there was no development in the area where the plot in question is located and the opposite parties were deficient for delay in delivery of the possession and thus sought compensation from the opposite parties by way of interest on the deposits towards the price of the plot as well as on account of mental agony and harassment.

The opposite parties contested the complaint and took the plea that being re-allottee of the plot, the complainant was not entitled for any benefit as she was well aware about the factual position of the plot at the time of its purchase from the original allottee. Thus, denying any kind of deficiency in service, it was prayed that the complaint be dismissed.

